Relative Efficacy and Safety of Tofacitinib, Baricitinib, Upadacitinib, and Filgotinib in Comparison to Adalimumab in Patients with Active Rheumatoid Arthritis

Lee YH, Song GG

Z Rheumatol. 2020

DOI: 10.1007/s00393-020-00750-1





Background

- JAK inhibitors have different selectivity for JAKs which may confer different benefit-risk profiles
 - TOF is JAK1/JAK3 and JAK2 selective
 - BARI is JAK1 and JAK2 selective
 - UPA and FIL are both JAK1 selective
- Relative efficacy and safety of the JAK inhibitors remain unclear due to a lack of data from head-to-head comparison trials
- This meta-analysis investigated the relative efficacy and safety of TOF, BARI, UPA, and FIL in comparison to ADA in patients with active RA and an IR to MTX



Study Design

- 4 RCTs were included in the Bayesian network meta-analysis
 - The analysis included 5451 patients, 3432 efficacy-related and 238 safety-related events
 - Results from different arms were analysed simultaneously
- Relative effects were converted into a probability that a treatment was best, second-best, and so-on, or into a ranking for each treatment called SUCRA
 - A value of 100% for SUCRA was obtained when treatment was the best and a value of 0% was obtained when treatment was the worst
 - League tables were used to organize the summary estimates by ranking the treatments based on their SUCRA value
- Inconsistency was assessed by plotting the posterior mean deviance in the inconsistency model against posterior mean deviance in the consistency model
 - A sensitivity test was performed by comparing the fixed- and random-effects model



Study Characteristics

Study	Patient Total	Treatment + MTX	No. of patients	ACR20	ACR50	ACR70	SAEs	HZ
ORAL	762	TOF 5mg	376	275	173	94	27	8
Strategy	762	ADA 40mg	386	274	169	80	24	6
RA BEAM	1005	BARI 4mg	487	360	246	145	23	7
		ADA 40mg	330	219	150	72	6	4
		РВО	188	179	94	39	22	2
SELECT COMPARE	1129	UPA 15mg	651	439	338	226	24	5
		ADA 40mg	327	187	137	75	14	1
		РВО	151	232	136	62	19	3
FINCH 1	1755	FIL 100mg	475	369	250	140	21	2
		FIL 200mg	480	375	278	174	14	2
		ADA 40mg	325	242	171	96	14	2
		РВО	475	281	158	71	20	2

Odds Ratio of ACR20 Between Different Treatments

BARI 4mg						
1.15 (0.83-1.59)	UPA 15mg					
1.53 (1.01-2.31)	1.33 (0.90-1.98)	TOF 5mg				
1.64 (1.14-2.35)	1.43 (1.02-2.00)	1.07 (0.70-1.64)	FIL 200mg			
1.68 (1.17-2.42)	1.47 (1.05-2.06)	1.10 (0.72-1.69)	1.03 (0.75-1.39)	FIL 100mg		
1.71 (1.31-2.23)	1.49 (1.18-1.89)	1.12 (0.81-1.54)	1.04 (0.78-1.39)	1.01 (0.76-1.35)	ADA 40mg	
4.39 (3.40-5.69)	3.83 (3.09-4.76)	2.88 (2.02-4.13)	2.68 (2.05-3.53)	2.61 (2.00-3.43)	2.58 (2.18-3.05)	РВ

All treatments were administered with MTX. Odds ratios are the first number in each cell and 95% credible intervals are the (ranges in parentheses). OR>1 signifies that the treatment in the top left is better.

BARI and UPA had significantly higher ACR20 response rates than ADA



Odds Ratio of ACR70 Between Different Treatments

UPA 15mg						
1.12 (0.77-1.63)	BARI 4mg					
1.43 (1.00-2.04)	1.27 (0.87-1.87)	FIL 200mg				
1.43 (0.93-2.20)	1.28 (0.81-2.02)	1.00 (0.65-1.55)	TOF 5mg			
1.82 (1.40-2.37)	1.63 (1.20-2.18)	1.27 (0.97-1.68)	1.28 (0.90-1.79)	ADA 40mg		
1.94 (1.36-2.79)	1.74 (1.18-2.57)	1.36 (1.04-1.78)	1.36 (0.87-2.12)	1.07 (0.81-1.42)	FIL 100mg	
4.98 (3.28-6.51)	4.45 (3.27-6.09)	3.49 (2.64-4.63)	3.50 (2.33-5.24)	2.74 (2.22-3.41)	2.56 (1.92-3.42)	

All treatments were administered with MTX. Odds ratios are the first number in each cell and 95% credible intervals are the (ranges in parentheses). OR>1 signifies that the treatment in the top left is better.

UPA and BARI had significantly higher ACR70 response rates than ADA



Discussion

- BARI 4mg and UPA 15mg were the most effective treatments for MTX IR patients with active RA based on ACR response rates
 - BARI and UPA had significantly higher ACR20/70 response rates than ADA 40mg
 - TOF 5mg and FIL 200mg had comparable ACR20/70 response rates to ADA 40mg
- There were no statistically significant differences between JAK inhibitors and placebo in terms of SAEs and HZ
- Study limitations include:
 - A short duration which was insufficient to judge all the important safety issues
 - Indirect comparison calibration relied on comparisons to PBO and ADA
 - Treatment rankings derived from network meta-analyses have a substantial degree of imprecision and interpreting such rankings requires caution

